

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,616	11/08/2001	Paul A. Egli	LS/0024.00	6612
7590 08/08/2005 BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			EXAMINER	
			LIN, KELVIN Y	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			2142	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/010,616	EGLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kelvin Lin	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 /	Nav 2005.					
, 	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-39,41-77 and 79-82</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-39,41-77 and 79-82</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
200 and altability actuality and allow it and of the definition depicts not received.						
Attack-manufa)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/010,616 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

Detailed Action

Response to Arguments

1. Application's argue with respect to claims 1, 3-39, 41-77, 79-82 have been considered but are not persuasive. Examiner appreciates detail description of prior art.

2. Regarding claim 1, applicant argues that "Kloba does not teach or suggest storing the transformed objects in the server cache".

The Office respectfully disagrees.

Kloba discloses that the state information (and associated functionality) (Kloba, col. 23, I. 5-10) including the translate/transform/optimize of the object associated with client is maintained or cached on the server (Kloba, col.23, I.10), which is used by a particular client (Kloba, col.23, I.60-67). The state information of the device and/or client is consider in this optimization process, and the optimization process is the web synchronization module in the server (Kloba, col. 23, I.22-23).

Therefore, all mentioned above is satisfying the reason to reject claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-19, 21,24, 28-38.

3. Similarly, regarding claim 39, applicant argues the same as above that "Kloba does not teach or suggest storing the transformed objects in the server cache". Therefore, it is rejected based on the same reason set forth for the rejection of claim 1, and its dependent claims 40-62, 64, 66, and 69-71.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,616 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

4. Regarding claim 77, applicant argues that "Kloba discloses determining capabilities of the target device by interacting with the device, not by examining the request submitted by the device".

The Office respectfully disagrees.

Kloba discloses in col.23, I.55-67, that the server execute the web

synchronization module (Kloba, col.23, l.22-23) about translate/transform/optimized of the object and conforms (compare and verify) state information request (Kloba, col. 23, l. 56-58) by a client and is used by the client (Kloba, col. 23, l. 60-62). The state information of the device is considered in this optimization process (Kloba, col. 23, l. 62-63). Therefore, all mentioned above is corresponds to the claim 77 - "determining capabilities of the target device by examining the request submitted by the device". And satisfying the reason to reject claim 77 and its dependent claims 78-82 are rejected as well.

5. Application's argue with respect to claims 20, 22-23, 25-27, 63, 65, and 67-68 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amended Claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/010,616

Art Unit: 2142

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 4

- 2. Claims 1, 3-39, 41-77, 79-82 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Kloba et al., (US Patent 6341316).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Kloba teaches an online system, a method for determining the capabilities of client devices and supplying media content in a format suitable for such devices, the method comprising:
 - receiving a request to provide a target device with a copy of a particular media object (Kloba, col.5, l.14-23);
 - determining capabilities of the target device (Kloba, col.5, l.16-17);
 - based on the capabilities of the target device, determining a format that is desired for providing the target device with a copy of the media object (Kloba, col.5, i.16.-17, Fig. 1W);
 - translating the particular media object into a copy having said determined format (Kloba, col.5, l.20); and
 - providing the target device with the copy having said determined format (Kloba, col.5, I.21); and
 - storing the copy having said determined format in a server cache (Kloba, col. 23, I. 5-10).

- 4. Regarding claim 3, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving from a target device a subsequent request for the particular object in the determined format; and providing the target device with the copy stored in said server cache (Kloba, col.23, l.37-39).
- 5. Regarding claim 4, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising: obtaining a copy of said particular media object from a connected server for translation of said media object (Kloba, col.16, l.55-56, col.23, l.60-62, col. 35, l.25-26).
- 6. Regarding claim 5, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 4, further comprising:
 - storing in cache memory a cached copy of said media object
 received from said connected server (Kloba, col.14, l.64-65); and
 - in response to subsequent requests for translation of said media object, using the copy of said media object stored in cache memory (Kloba, col.15, I.38-40).
- 7. Regarding claim 6, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the capabilities of the target device include screen resolution (Kloba, col.24, l.23).
- 8. Regarding claim 7, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the capabilities of the target device include screen size (Kloba, col.24, l.8).
- 9. Regarding claim 8, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the capabilities of the target device include color support (Kloba, col.24, l.10).
- 10. Regarding claim 9, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the

Page 6

capabilities of the target device include bit rate (Kloba, col.11, l.1).

- 11. Regarding claim 10, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the capabilities of the target device include currently-available communication medium that the target device employs to transmit its request (Kloba, col.8, l.11-14).
- 12. Regarding claim 11, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 10, wherein currently-available communication medium comprises wireless communication (Kloba, col.8, I.11-14).
- 13. Regarding claim 12, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 10, wherein currently-available communication medium comprises wireline communication (Kloba, col.8, I.11-14).
- 14. Regarding claim 13, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said step of determining capabilities of the target device includes examining the request submitted by the device (Kloba, col.5, l.14-17).
- 15. Regarding claim 14, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said step of determining capabilities of the target device includes examining the HTTP header submitted by the device (Kloba, col.5, l.14-25).
- 16. Regarding claim 15, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 14, wherein examining the HTTP header submitted by the device includes examining the HTTP User-Agent header (Kloba, col.21, l.49, by the definition of HTTP, it is obvious to implement the user-agent header.).
- 17. Regarding claim 16, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said

Art Unit: 2142

- step of determining capabilities of the target device includes querying the device for its capabilities (Kloba, col.15, I.48-49).
- 18. Regarding claim 17, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said step of determining capabilities of the target device includes determining capabilities from a knowledgebase, based on a device class for the target device (Kloba, col.5, l.4-6, col.14, l.60-63).
- 19. Regarding claim 18, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 17, further comprising: recording a log record of target devices that are not recognized to enable the capabilities of said devices to be added to the knowledgebase (Kloba, col.29, l.38-42).
- 20. Regarding claim 19, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 18, further comprising: automatically issuing notifications regarding said target devices that are not recognized (Kloba col.29, I.43-47).
- 21. Regarding claim 21, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said step of determining a format that is desired includes determining an appropriate color space for rendering a particular image at the target device (Kloba col.21, I.19-26).
- 22. Regarding claim 24, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said step of determining a format that is desired includes determining the appropriate bit rate for the target device (Kloba, col.11, l.1).
- 23. Regarding claim 28, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes a handheld computing device having display capability

Application/Control Number: 10/010,616

Art Unit: 2142

(Kloba, col.10, I.40-41).

- 24. Regarding claim 29, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes a handheld computing device having digital audio capability (Kloba, col.4, I.33, col. I.38, Table 2)
- 25. Regarding claim 30, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes a cellular phone device having display capability (Kloba, col.10, l.38).
- 26. Regarding claim 31, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes a cellular phone device having digital audio capability (Kloba, col.10, l.40-41).
- 27. Regarding claim 32, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes a pager device having display capability (Kloba, col.10, I.38).
- 28. Regarding claim 33, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes a personal computer having display capability (Kloba, col. 10, I.40).
- 29. Regarding claim 34, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes a personal computer having digital audio capability (Kloba, col.10, I. 38, I.40-41).
- 30. Regarding claim 35, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said target device includes WAP (Wireless Application Protocol) support (Kloba,

col.28, l.55-57).

- 31. Regarding claim 36, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said media objects include digital images (Kloba, col.15, I.32-33).
- 32. Regarding claim 37, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said digital objects include digital video (Kloba, col.4, l.13).
- 33. Regarding claim 38, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein said digital objects include digital audio (Kloba, col.4, I.12).
- 34. Regarding claims 39, 41-62, 64, 66, 69-76 have similar limitations as claims 1, 3-19, 21, 24, 28-38. Therefore, claims 39-62, 64, 66, 69-76 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1, 3-19, 21, 24, 28-38.
- 35. Regarding claim 77, Kloba further discloses an online system, a method for determining the capabilities of client devices, the method comprising:
 - receiving an original request from a target device in which said target device does not include information regarding its capabilities (Kloba, col.29, l.40-42);
 - determining capabilities of the target device by examining the request submitted by the device (Kloba, col. 23, I. 56-58);
 - supplementing said original request received from said target device with information about the capabilities of said target device (Kloba, col.9, I.33-40) and

Application/Control Number: 10/010,616 Page 10

Art Unit: 2142

 forwarding said supplemented request to a destination specified in said original request (Kloba, col.9, I.41-47)

- 36. Regarding claim 79, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 77, wherein said step of determining capabilities of the target device includes examining the HTTP header submitted by the device (Kloba, col.5, I.15-16)...
- 37. Regarding claim 80, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 79, wherein examining the HTTP header submitted by the device includes examining the HTTP User-Agent header (Kloba, col.21, I.49, by the definition of HTTP, it is obvious to implement the user-agent header.)
- 38. Regarding claim 81, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 77, wherein said step of determining capabilities of the target device includes querying the device for its capabilities (Kloba, col.15, I.48-49).
- 39. Regarding claim 82, Kloba further discloses the method of claim 77, wherein said step of determining capabilities of the target device includes determining capabilities from a knowledgebase, based on a device class for the target device (Kloba, col.5, l.4-6, col.14, l.60-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 11

- 40. Claims 20, 22-23, 25-27, 63, 65, and 67-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kloba in view of Wenocur et al., (U.S. PG Pub. No. 2003/0041110).
- 41. Regarding claims 20, 22-23, Kloba differs from the claimed invention in that it does not explicitly indicate the resolution, image size, and rotation of the particular image at the target device. However, Wenocur clearly teaches the device capability determination includes variables of the size, resolution of the object that the recipient's device can handle. (Wenocur, [0068]). Furthermore, Wenocur teaches the rotation of the image in a video frame formats (Wenocur, [0858], [0889], and [0891]). Combine with Wenocur objects resolution, image size, and rotation that will improve the user capability, and also increase the effectiveness.
- 42. Regarding claims 63, and 65 have similar limitations as claims 20, and 22.

 Therefore, claims 63, and 65 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 20, and 22.
- 43. Regarding claims 25-27, Kloba differs from the claimed invention in that it does not explicitly indicate the determination of communication bandwidth for transmitting a copy of the media object to the target device. However, Wenocur clearly teaches the platform information device maintains the network bandwidth information (Wenocur, [0499]).

Art Unit: 2142

Combine with Wenocur communication bandwidth filtering that will improve the image objects resolution and performance.

- 44. Regarding claims 67, and 68 have similar limitations as claims 25, and 27.

 Therefore, claims 67, and 68 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 25, and 27.
- 45. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kloba's synchronizing content between a server and a client and Wenocur Optimizing delivery of image objects.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-3898.

The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KYL 7/29/2005

> KAMINI SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER